NOTICE OF INTENT

Department of Environmental Quality
Office of the Secretary
Legal Affairs Division

DMR Completion Requirement (LAC 33:IX.2701) (WQ065)

Under the authority of the Environmental Quality Act, R.S. 30:2001 et seq., and in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, R.S. 49:950 et seq., the secretary gives notice that rulemaking procedures have been initiated to amend the Water Quality regulations, LAC 33:IX.2701 (Log #WQ065).

This rule change will require discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) to be filled out completely before submittal. An incomplete DMR submittal will be considered a violation of the regulations. Incomplete DMR submittals are delaying the evaluation of facility compliance with facility permits for effluent discharges and are adding to the workload of department staff reviewing these submittals. The basis and rationale for this rule are to protect the waters of the state.

This proposed rule meets an exception listed in R.S. 30:2019(D)(2) and R.S. 49:953(G)(3); therefore, no report regarding environmental/health benefits and social/economic costs is required. This proposed rule has no known impact on family formation, stability, and autonomy as described in R.S. 49:972.

A public hearing will be held on April 25, 2006, at 1:30 p.m. in the Galvez Building, Oliver Pollock Conference Room, 602 N. Fifth Street, Baton Rouge, LA 70802. Interested persons are invited to attend and submit oral comments on the proposed amendments. Should individuals with a disability need an accommodation in order to participate, contact Judith A. Schuerman, Ph.D., at the address given below or at (225) 219-3550. Free parking is available in the Galvez Garage when the parking ticket is validated by department personnel at the hearing.

All interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed regulation. Persons commenting should reference this proposed regulation by WQ065. Such comments must be received no later than May 2, 2006, at 4:30 p.m., and should be sent to Judith A. Schuerman, Ph.D., Office of the Secretary, Legal Affairs Division, Box 4302, Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4302 or to FAX (225) 219-3582 or by e-mail to judith.schuerman@la.gov. Copies of this proposed regulation can be purchased by contacting the DEQ Public Records Center at (225) 219-3168. Check or money order is required in advance for each copy of WQ065. This regulation is available on the Internet at www.deq.louisiana.gov under Rules and Regulations.

This proposed regulation is available for inspection at the following DEQ office locations from 8 a.m. until 4:30 p.m.: 602 N. Fifth Street, Baton Rouge, LA 70802; 1823 Highway 546, West Monroe, LA 71292; State Office Building, 1525 Fairfield Avenue, Shreveport, LA 71101; 1301 Gadwall Street, Lake Charles, LA 70615; 111 New Center Drive, Lafayette, LA 70508;

110 Barataria Street, Lockport, LA 70374; 645 N. Lotus Drive, Suite C, Mandeville, LA 70471.

Herman Robinson, CPM Executive Counsel

Title 33 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Part IX. Water Quality Regulations

Subpart 2. The Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (LPDES) Program

Chapter 27. LPDES Permit Conditions

§2701. Conditions Applicable to All Permits

The following conditions apply to all LPDES permits. Additional conditions applicable to LPDES permits are in LAC 33:IX.2703. All conditions applicable to LPDES permits shall be incorporated into the permits either expressly or by reference. If incorporated by reference, a specific citation to these regulations (or the corresponding approved state regulations) must be given in the permit.

A. - L.3. ...

- 4. Monitoring Reports. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified elsewhere in this permit.
- a. Monitoring Results of wastewater or effluent monitoring must be reported on a discharge monitoring report (DMR) EPA Form 3320-1, or forms provided or an approved substitute. specified by the state administrative authority for reporting results of monitoring of sludge use or disposal practices. The results of monitoring of sludge use or disposal practices shall be reported on forms specified or approved by the administrative authority.

b.-c. ...

d. Discharge monitoring reports shall be completed in accordance with the instructions on EPA Form 3320-1.

L.5. - N.4. ...

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2001 et seq., and in particular Section 2074(B)(3) and (B)(4).

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Water Resources, LR 21:945 (September 1995), amended by the Water Pollution Control Division, LR 23:724 (June 1997), amended by the Office of Environmental Assessment, Environmental Planning Division, LR 26:2553 (November 2000), LR 28:468 (March 2002), repromulgated LR 30:230 (February 2004), amended LR 30:1676 (August 2004), amended by the Office of the Secretary, Legal Affairs Division, LR 31:2431, 2512 (October 2005), LR 32:

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES LOG #: WQ065

Person

Preparing

Statement: Sharon Parker Dept.: Department of Environmental Quality

Phone: <u>225-219-3559</u> Office: <u>Office of Environmental Compliance</u>

Return

Address: P.O. Box 4302 Rule DMR Completion Requirement

Title <u>LAC 33:IX.2701.L.4</u>

Baton Rouge, LA Date Rule

Takes Effect: <u>Upon Promulgation</u>

SUMMARY

(Use complete sentences)

In accordance with Section 953 of Title 49 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes, there is hereby submitted a fiscal and economic impact statement on the rule proposed for adoption, repeal or amendment. THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS SUMMARIZE ATTACHED WORKSHEETS, I THROUGH IV AND WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE LOUISIANA REGISTER WITH THE PROPOSED AGENCY RULE.

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS (Summary)

The workload for departmental staff will be slightly reduced resulting from the decrease in duplication of work when properly completed Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) are submitted.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS OF STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS (Summary)

Revenues for the state may be slightly increased due to income from penalty assessments.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND/OR ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS OR NON-GOVERNMENTAL GROUPS (Summary)

No effect will be experienced by facilities submitting completed DMRs. Submission of incomplete DMRs may result in penalties of up to \$32,500/day to the facilities that submit them.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT (Summary)

No effect on competition or employment will be experienced.

| Signature of Agency Head or Designee | Legislative Fiscal Officer or Designee | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Herman Robinson, CPM, Executive Counsel Typed Name and Title of Agency Head or Designee | | | | | |
| Date of Signature | Date of Signature | | | | |

LFO 03/09/2001

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

The following information is requested in order to assist the Legislative Fiscal Office in its review of the fiscal and economic impact statement and to assist the appropriate legislative oversight subcommittee in its deliberation on the proposed rule.

A. Provide a brief summary of the content of the rule (if proposed for adoption, or repeal) or a brief summary of the change in the rule (if proposed for amendment). Attach a copy of the notice of intent and a copy of the rule proposed for initial adoption or repeal (or, in the case of a rule change, copies of both the current and proposed rules with amended portions indicated).

This rule will change the affected LAC section by requiring Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) to be filled out completely before submittal to the department. An incomplete DMR submittal will be considered a violation of the regulations.

B. Summarize the circumstances which require this action. If the Action is required by federal regulation, attach a copy of the applicable regulation.

Incomplete DMR submittals are delaying the evaluation of facilities' compliance with their permits for effluent discharges and adding to the workload of staff reviewing these submittals.

- C. Compliance with Act 11 of the 1986 First Extraordinary Session
 - (1) Will the proposed rule change result in any increase in the expenditure of funds? If so, specify amount and source of funding.

There will be no increase in the expenditure of funds.

| (2) | If the answer to (1) above is yes, has the Legislature specifically appropriated the funds |
|-------|--|
| neces | sary for the associated expenditure increase? |

| (a) | Yes. If yes, attach documentation. |
|-----|---|
| (b) | No. If no, provide justification as to why this rule change should be |
| | published at this time. |

This requirement is not applicable.

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

WORKSHEET

I. A. <u>COSTS OR SAVINGS TO STATE AGENCIES RESULTING FROM THE</u> ACTION PROPOSED

1. What is the anticipated increase (decrease) in costs to implement the proposed action?

| COSTS | FY 05-06 | FY 06-07 | FY 07-08 |
|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | | |
| PERSONAL SERVICES | -0- | -0- | -0 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | -0- | -0 | -0 |
| PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| OTHER CHARGES | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| EQUIPMENT | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| TOTAL | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| MAJOR REPAIR & CONSTR. | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| POSITIONS (#) | -0- | -0- | -0- |

Provide a narrative explanation of the costs or savings shown in "A.1.", including the
increase or reduction in workload or additional paperwork (number of new forms,
additional documentation, etc.) anticipated as a result of the implementation of the
proposed action. Describe all data, assumptions, and methods used in calculating
these costs.

This change will actually lead to a reduction in workload for staff. Less duplication in effort will result when complete DMRs are submitted. Facility compliance will, also, be detected sooner.

3. Sources of funding for implementing the proposed rule or rule change.

| SOURCE | FY 05-06 | FY 06-07 | FY 07-08 |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| STATE GENERAL FUND | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| AGENCY SELF-GENERATED | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| DEDICATED | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| FEDERAL FUNDS | -0- | -0 | -0 |
| OTHER (Specify) | 0- | -0- | -0- |
| TOTAL | 0- | -0- | -0- |

4. Does your agency currently have sufficient funds to implement the proposed action? If not, how and when do you anticipate obtaining such funds?

Sufficient funding exists to implement this proposed rule.

B. <u>COST OR SAVINGS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS RESULTING FROM THE ACTION PROPOSED.</u>

1. Provide an estimate of the anticipated impact of the proposed action on local governmental units, including adjustments in workload and paperwork requirements. Describe all data, assumptions and methods used in calculating this impact.

If these entities are submitting complete DMRs, they should not see any effect from the proposed rule. However, if they submit incomplete DMRs they may experience penalties.

2. Indicate the sources of funding of the local governmental unit which will be affected by these costs or savings.

The funding sources affected will generally be the sources that provide funding for environmental management.

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

WORKSHEET

II. EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS

A. What increase (decrease) in revenues can be anticipated from the proposed action?

| REVENUE INCREASE/DECREASE | FY 05-06 | FY 06-07 | FY 07-08 |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------------|
| STATE GENERAL FUND | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| AGENCY SELF-GENERATED | - minimal | minimal | minimal |
| RESTRICTED FUNDS* | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| FEDERAL FUNDS | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| LOCAL FUNDS | 0- | -0- | <u>-0-</u> |
| TOTAL | minimal | minimal | <u>minimal</u> |

^{*}Specify the particular fund being impacted.

B. Provide a narrative explanation of each increase or decrease in revenues shown in "A." Describe all data, assumptions, and methods used in calculating these increases or decreases.

Revenues for the state may be slightly increased due to income from penalty assessments.

III. COSTS AND/OR ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS OR NONGOVERNMENTAL GROUPS

A. What persons or non-governmental groups would be directly affected by the proposed action? For each, provide an estimate and a narrative description of any effect on costs, including workload adjustments and additional paperwork (number of new forms, additional documentation, etc.), they may have to incur as a result of the proposed action.

Facilities submitting DMRs for their permitted outfalls will experience penalties when incomplete DMRs are submitted. Submission of incomplete DMRs may result in penalties of up to \$32,500/day to the facilities that submit them. No effect will be seen when properly completed DMRs are submitted.

B. Also provide an estimate and a narrative description of any impact on receipts and/or income resulting from this rule or rule change to these groups.

No real impact on income and receipts should result from this proposed rule. If a facility should experience a penalty, the cost of paying that penalty could be passed down to the consumer.

IV. <u>EFFECTS ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT</u>

Identify and provide estimates of the impact of the proposed action on competition and employment in the public and private sectors. Include a summary of any data, assumptions and methods used in making these estimates.

There should be no effect on competition or employment from this proposed rule.